

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/808,041 03/24/2004 Roman M. Chicz 08191-008004 6563 26161 7590 01/30/2007 **EXAMINER** FISH & RICHARDSON PC P.O. BOX 1022 ZHOU, SHUBO **MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022** ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 1631 SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE MAIL DATE **DELIVERY MODE** 31 DAYS 01/30/2007 PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/808,041	CHICZ ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Shubo (Joe) Zhou	1631
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 October 2006.		
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This	s action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is		
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 14 and 43-61 is/are pending in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) 14 and 43-61 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.		
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a) All b) Some * c) None of:		
<ul> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage</li> </ul>		
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	•	
Attachment(s)	"	· (DTO 442)
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Ll Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal I	
apor moto primari sato	٠, ـ ــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ	

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Restriction/Election Requirement

Applicant's response, filed 10/25/06, to the previous Office action, mailed 4/25/06, is acknowledged. The amendment to the claims therein have been entered, but the amendment to the specification is not completely compliant with 37 CFR 1.121(b) because the amendment to the title does not include markings to show changes made.

The amendment to the claims adds new claims 43-61, which necessitates the following species election requirement.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species in terms of the nature of the first and the second receptors:

- (A) The first and second receptors are MHC class I and II, as in claim 43;
- (B) The first and second receptors are two chaperones selected from those listed in claims 46-51.

If Species (B) is elected, two specific chaperones must be elected.

The species are independent or distinct because the receptors have distinct structures, properties including chemical and physical attributes, and functions, and thus the method used to isolate ligands bound thereto will involve different reagents and steps correspondingly, and produce different results, i.e. profiles. Searching for each of the species would require searching for the different subject matter and thus the searches for all the species would involve different search fields, and thus would not be coextensive.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 14 is generic.

This application also contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species in terms of the nature of the chemical and physical attributes of the ligands bound to the receptors:

- (1) The chemical and physical attribute of the ligands is hydrophobicity or charge, as in claim 52 or 57,
- (2) The chemical and physical attribute of the ligands is mass-to-charge ratio, as in claim 53 or 58,
- (3) The chemical and physical attribute of the ligands is amino acid sequence, as in claim 54 or 59, and
- (4) The chemical and physical attribute of the ligands is ion fragmentation patterns, as in claim 55 or 60.

The species are independent or distinct because the different attributes requires different reagents, equipments, etc. for the method for isolating and distinguishing the ligands that bind to the receptors. Searching for each of the species would require searching for the different subject matter and thus the searches for all the species would involve different search fields, and thus would not be coextensive.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 14 is generic.

Page 4

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Because these species are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shubo (Joe) Zhou, whose telephone number is 571-272-0724. The examiner can normally be reached Monday-Friday from 8 A.M. to 4 P.M. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew Wang, can be reached on 571-272-0811. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Patent applicants with problems or questions regarding electronic images that can be viewed in the Patent Application Information Retrieval system (PAIR) can now contact the USPTO's Patent Electronic Business Center (Patent EBC) for assistance. Representatives are available to answer your questions daily from 6 am to midnight (EST). The toll free number is (866) 217-9197. When calling please have your application serial or patent number, the type of document you are having an image problem with, the number of pages and the specific nature of the problem. The Patent Electronic Business Center will notify applicants of the resolution of the problem within 5-7 business days. Applicants can also check PAIR to confirm that the problem has been corrected. The USPTO's Patent Electronic Business Center is a complete service center supporting all patent business on the Internet. The USPTO's PAIR system provides Internet-based access to patent application status and history information. It also enables applicants to view the scanned images of their own application file folder(s) as well as general patent information available to the public. For all other customer support, please call the USPTO Call Center (UCC) at 800-786-9199.

sz/SZ

HUBO (JOE) ZHOU, PH.D.